UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROGERS		
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 08-02897
POTTER	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties a	agree to participate in the following	lowing ADR process:
√ (Note: Partie appreciably if ADR phone)	more likely to meet their nee conference and may not file	ADR L.R. 4) (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
	te Process:	ify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) other requested deadline		
Dated: 1/30/09		/S/
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 1/30/09		/S/
		Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>2/6/09</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

Sandre B Ormetes

JUDGE